Nighttime face recognition at large standoff: Cross-distance and cross-spectral matching

Dongoh Kang^a, Hu Han^b, Anil K. Jain^{a,b,*}, Seong-Whan Lee^{a,**}

^a Department of Brain and Cognitive Engineering, Korea University, Anam-dong, Seongbuk-ku, Seoul 136-713, Republic of Korea

^b Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 September 2013

Received in revised form

3 March 2014

Accepted 9 June 2014

Available online 17 June 2014

Keywords:

Nighttime face recognition

Heterogeneous face matching

Cross-distance matching

Cross-spectral matching

Image restoration

K-means clustering

Locally Linear Embedding (LLE)

ABSTRACT

Face recognition in surveillance systems is important for security applications, especially in nighttime scenarios when the subject is far away from the camera. However, due to the face image quality degradation caused by large camera standoff and low illuminance, nighttime face recognition at large standoff is challenging. In this paper, we report a system that is capable of collecting face images at large standoff in both daytime and nighttime, and present an augmented heterogeneous face recognition (AHFR) approach for cross-distance (e.g., 150 m probe vs. 1 m gallery) and cross-spectral (near-infrared probe vs. visible light gallery) face matching. We recover high-quality face images from degraded probe images by proposing an image restoration method based on Locally Linear Embedding (LLE). The restored face images are matched to the gallery by using a heterogeneous face matcher. Experimental results show that the proposed AHFR approach significantly outperforms the state-of-the-art methods for cross-spectral and cross-distance face matching.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Surveillance cameras are being widely deployed in many countries worldwide for the purpose of traffic management, public safety control, and anti-terrorism. It is reported that there are over 4.2 million CCTV cameras in the United Kingdom, and on average, there is one camera for every 32 persons there [1]. There is also a tremendous growth in the number of surveillance cameras installed in major cities around the world [2]. Surveillance cameras have been found to be helpful to assist in law enforcement and criminal investigations in many high profile cases. As an example, in the case of Boston Marathon bombings on April 15, 2013, the surveillance videos with relative low quality (e.g., with motion blur), were found to be extremely useful in providing valuable clues to identify the suspects [3]. However, this still required a significant amount of manual processing of the video data. There is an urgent requirement for integrating automatic face recognition techniques into existing surveillance systems to improve the

efficiency and accuracy of matching faces captured by surveillance cameras to mugshots and other face databases managed by law-enforcement and other government agencies.

Despite a significant progress in automatic face recognition, recognition of low-quality face images captured in unconstrained illumination and with arbitrary pose, typically encountered in surveillance videos, is a challenging problem [4]. While CCTV systems with visible light (VIS) cameras are able to capture relatively high-quality face images even at large standoff in daytime, VIS cameras do not work in adverse illumination conditions, e.g., in nighttime. However, many crimes occur in nighttime where the low illumination makes it difficult for most VIS image based CCTV systems to capture face images clearly (see Fig. 1(a)). While the use of flash light is an option at nighttime for VIS cameras, it is not only expensive, but also not suitable in scenarios that require covert surveillance. By contrast, as shown in Fig. 1(b), NIR cameras (with wavelength in the range 0.75–1.4 μm) can capture face images even at 150 m standoff at nighttime that contain sufficient salient information for face recognition. Given that NIR face images are of a different sensing modality than VIS face images, which typically reside in face databases maintained by law enforcement agencies, such as the mugshot and driver license databases, face recognition systems that are capable of performing cross-spectral (NIR probe to VIS gallery) and cross-distance (60 m, 100 m and 150 m probe to 1 m gallery) face matching are desirable in practical applications.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Brain and Cognitive Engineering, Korea University, Anam-dong, Seongbuk-ku, Seoul 136-713, Republic of Korea. Tel.: +1 517 355 9282.

** Corresponding author. Tel.: +82 2 3290 3197.

E-mail addresses: jain@cse.msu.edu (A.K. Jain), swlee@image.korea.ac.kr (S.-W. Lee).

Fig. 1. Visible light (VIS) vs. near-infrared (NIR) face images. (a) Visible spectral cameras in a surveillance system cannot capture high-quality face images suitable for recognition at nighttime even at a small standoff. (<http://www.suffolk.police.uk/newsandevents/newsstories/2013/february/newmarketctvappeal.aspx>) (b) NIR images that are captured at nighttime at a large standoff (e.g., 150 m) still contain some discriminative facial details.

1.1. Problem description and contributions

In this paper, we address the cross-spectral (NIR vs. VIS) and cross-distance (60 m, 100 m, and 150 m vs. 1 m standoff) face matching problem for nighttime face recognition at large standoff. We have designed an image acquisition system for capturing face images in both daytime and nighttime at different standoffs (e.g., 1 m, 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m). We integrated a telephoto lens with autofocus capability with a DSLR camera to capture VIS face images at large standoff in daytime. We further integrated an NIR illuminator into the system so that we can also capture NIR face images at large standoff in nighttime. With our image acquisition system, we have collected a Long Distance Heterogeneous Face (LDHF) database consisting of 1 m indoor, and 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m outdoor VIS and NIR images of 100 different subjects.

Given the LDHF database, we have studied the problem of nighttime face recognition at a large standoff, and proposed an approach for cross-spectral and cross-distance face matching. Considering the image quality degradation (e.g., blur and noise) due to large standoff and low illuminance at nighttime, we first recover high-quality probe face images from the degraded probe face images by proposing an Locally Linear Embedding (LLE) based image restoration method. A heterogeneous face matcher is then used to match the restored face images to the 1 m gallery face images.

The novelty of the proposed approach includes

1. An image acquisition system designed to collect face images at large standoff in both daytime and nighttime, and a LDHF database that is publicly available to interested researchers.¹
2. A general framework for cross-spectral and cross-distance face matching that works for both NIR to VIS face matching in nighttime and VIS to VIS face matching in daytime.
3. A novel image restoration approach that significantly improves low-quality face images, and thus extends the applicability of existing heterogeneous face matchers to cross-spectral and cross-distance face matching.

The research reported in this paper is built upon our preliminary work [26]. The main differences between this paper and previously published paper are summarized as follows. (i) We propose an image restoration approach based on LLE to improve face image quality, which facilitates the application of existing face matchers to the scenarios of cross-spectral and cross-distance face matching. (ii) The proposed approach is compared with two Commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) SDKs (FaceVACS [27] and PittPatt [28]) and two state-of-the-art heterogeneous face matchers (HFR

[29] and LSNA [30]). (iii) The effectiveness of the proposed method is further evaluated in the scenario of face retrieval from two large gallery sets that are augmented with 10,000 subjects from PCSO, and 2,508 Asian subjects extracted from PCSO, MORPH, CAS-PEAL, CASIA-FaceV5, CUHK, and FaceWarehouse databases, respectively.

1.2. Background

1.2.1. Cross-spectral face matching

Given that it is difficult to capture face images at nighttime using VIS cameras (see Fig. 1(a)), different image acquisition methods have been developed to expand the capability of surveillance systems by collecting face images in different modalities than VIS, such as near-infrared (NIR), thermal, and SWIR images [5,8–14]. Among these image acquisition methods, NIR images have been widely used in operational face recognition applications [15]. In particular, face recognition using NIR images has the following advantages [5,6]: (i) NIR light is generally not visible to the human eyes and hence the surveillance operation can be somewhat covert; (ii) NIR images are less affected by ambient temperature, emotional and health conditions of the subjects compared to thermal images; (iii) NIR illuminators are cheaper compared to some other face imaging systems, such as thermal sensors; and (iv) NIR illumination can easily penetrate glasses, allowing it to capture more details around the eyes. These advantages of NIR imaging system have motivated a number of studies on face recognition using NIR images.

Existing approaches to NIR face recognition published in the literature can be grouped into three main categories: (i) Using NIR images [5,7–10,16,12] for both gallery and probe sets, (ii) image synthesis from NIR to VIS [18,22,23], and (iii) cross-spectral face recognition (or heterogeneous face recognition (HFR)) where the probe face image is NIR but the gallery face image is VIS. Approaches in the first category avoid the modality gap by enrolling NIR images in the gallery dataset; however, these approaches cannot be used in those applications where only VIS face images are enrolled and the NIR sensors are not available at the enrollment time. Approaches in the second category eliminate the modality gap by converting images with different modalities into the same modality, which makes it possible to perform face recognition using traditional face matchers that have been developed for intra-spectral face matching. However, the synthesized images can only be called a pseudophoto due to their inferred content. Additionally, synthesis methods are often solving a more difficult problem than the recognition task. Approaches in the third category directly match NIR and VIS face images by using modality invariant face representations. Table 1 summarizes representative face recognition approaches using NIR face images reported in the literature.

Heterogeneous face recognition methods are particularly useful for nighttime face recognition where the probe images are NIR

¹ <http://biolab.korea.ac.kr/database/>.

Table 1
Representative face recognition methods using NIR face images reported in the literature.

Problem domain	Publication	Face matching approach	Experimental protocol	
			Standoff	Environment
Intra-spectral matching (NIR to NIR)	Zhao and Grigat [8]	DCT, SVM	~ 1.5 m	Indoor
	Huang et al. [5]	ELBP, Adaboost	~ 1.5 m	Indoor
	Shen et al. [9]	DBC, Adaboost	~ 1.5 m	Indoor
	Li et al. [10]	LBP, Adaboost	0.5 m–1 m	Indoor
	Zhang et al. [16]	Gabor-DBC	0.8 m–1.2 m	Indoor
	Bourlai and Cukic [12]	CSU face matcher	1.5 m, 30 m, 60 m, 90 m, 120 m	Indoor Outdoor
Image synthesis (NIR vs. VIS)	Chen et al. [18]	LBP, LLE	~ 1 m	Indoor
	Wang et al. [22]	LoG	~ 1.5 m	Indoor
	Zhang et al. [23]	LBP, sparse representation	~ 1.5 m	Indoor
Heterogeneous face recognition (NIR vs. VIS)	Bourlai and Cukic [12]	CSU face matcher	1.5 m, 30 m, 60 m, 90 m, 120 m	Indoor Outdoor
	Yi et al. [24]	PCA-CCA,	~ 1.5 m	Indoor
	Liao et al. [30]	DoG, MB-LBP, Gentle Adaboost	~ 1.5 m	Indoor
	Klare and Jain [25]	HoG-LBP, LDA	0.8 m–1.2 m	Indoor
	Klare and Jain [29]	MLBP, SIFT, LDA, kernel	0.8 m–1.2 m	Indoor
	Maeng et al. [11]	DoG-SIFT	1 m, 60 m	Indoor

Fig. 2. Nighttime NIR images at 60 m standoff: (a) the NIR illuminator used in [11] introduces halo like light pattern around the head; (b) an improved NIR illuminator used in this paper leads to much better image quality compared to (a).

images, but the enrolled face gallery images are typically VIS photos. A number of studies related to HFR have been reported in recent years. Yi et al. [24] proposed a canonical correlation analysis (CCA) based method to learn the correlation between NIR and VIS images. Liao et al. [30] presented a Local Structure of Normalized Appearance (LSNA) method where common facial features in both NIR and VIS face images were extracted from Multi-scale Block LBP (MB-LBP) features using Gentle Adaboost. Klare and Jain [25] represented NIR and VIS face images using the histogram of oriented gradients (HOG) and LBP features, and then learnt discriminative subspaces with LDA to minimize the intra-subject differences due to the modality difference. Klare and Jain [29] also proposed a HFR framework where the NIR and VIS face images were represented in terms of nonlinear similarities to a collection of prototype faces consisting of one image for each modality. Maeng et al. [11] normalized VIS and NIR images using DoG filter, and extracted SIFT features for cross-spectral and cross-distance matching. Bourlai and Cukic [12] studied both the NIR to VIS, and NIR to NIR face matching problems using a public CSU Face Identification Evaluation System [39]. Despite these methods proposed for NIR to VIS image matching, only a few studies have simultaneously considered the cross-spectral and cross-distance face matching problem [12], which is frequently encountered in surveillance scenarios.

1.2.2. Available datasets and their limitations

A few databases have been collected for studying cross-spectral and cross-distance face recognition. Li et al. [35] collected both indoor VIS and NIR facial images, but they did not consider the scenario of face recognition at a large standoff. Maeng et al. [11] collected both indoor VIS and NIR facial images at two different standoffs (1 m and 60 m), but a noisy halo-like light pattern (see Fig. 2(a)) appears in their NIR image due to the NIR illuminator they used. Bourlai and Cukic [12] built a database with VIS gallery face images, and three types of probe images, including SWIR, MWIR, and NIR Mid-Range face images. The NIR Mid-Range dataset contains NIR face images captured at 30, 60, 90 and 120 meters in an outdoor environment. Table 2 summarizes the representative face databases for cross-distance and cross-spectral face matching reported in the literature.

Among these databases, only the NIR Mid-range dataset [12] simultaneously covers the scenarios of cross-spectral (NIR vs. VIS) and cross-distance (30 m, 60 m, 90 m, and 120 m) face matching. However, at large standoff, the database only contains NIR images captured outdoors at nighttime, and there are no VIS images captured outdoors in daytime. This makes it difficult to analyze the differences between NIR and VIS images in face recognition at large standoff. More importantly, the database in [12] is not available in the public domain. By contrast, the LDHF database we collected is available in the public domain and it includes both

daytime VIS images and nighttime NIR images captured at 1 m, 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m standoff distances.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe our face imaging system for collecting face images at a

long distance in both daytime and nighttime. In Section 3 we detail the proposed approach for cross-distance and cross-spectral face matching. We evaluate the proposed approach in Section 4, and conclude this work in Section 5.

Table 2

Summary of face image databases for cross-spectral and cross-distance matching.

Publication		(#Images, #Subjects)	Standoff	Modality	Imaging environment	Available	Resolution
NFRAD [11]		Indoor (600, 50)	1 m, 60 m	VIS & NIR	Daytime & Nighttime	No	3872 × 2592
SWIR [12]	DB1	Indoor (NA, 50)	2 m	VIS & SWIR	Controlled	No	NA
	DB2	Indoor (NA, 50)	50 m, 106 m	VIS & SWIR	Semi-controlled	No	NA
	DB3	Outdoor (NA, 16)	60 m 400 m	VIS & SWIR	Uncontrolled	No	NA
NIR mid-range [12]		Indoor (103, 103) ^a	1 m	VIS	Controlled	No	NA
		Outdoor (515, 103) ^a	30 m, 60 m, 90 m, 120 m	NIR	Nighttime	No	752 × 582
LDHF database (this study)		Indoor (200, 100)	1 m	VIS & NIR	Daytime & Nighttime	Yes	5184 × 3456
		Outdoor (600, 100)	60 m, 100 m, 150 m	VIS & NIR	Daytime & Nighttime	Yes	5184 × 3456

^a These databases consist of video sequences instead of still images.

Fig. 3. The proposed imaging system, designed for collecting face images at large standoff in both daytime and nighttime, consists of a high resolution DSLR camera (Canon 600D) with a telephoto lens (Canon EF 400 mm F2.8L II IS USM) and a NIR illuminator (RayMax300).

Fig. 4. Example images in the LDHF database: VIS images (top row), cropped VIS face images with grey scale (second row), NIR images (third row), cropped NIR face images (bottom row) at (a) 1 m, (b) 60 m, (c) 100 m, and (d) 150 m.

2. LDHF database collection

2.1. LDHF imaging system

To perform face recognition at a large standoff, we have designed an image acquisition system that is capable of capturing face images at long distances in both daytime and nighttime. As mentioned above, we capture NIR face images using an active NIR illuminator in nighttime. In practical applications, the NIR illuminators should meet the following requirements [15]: (1) Active NIR light should be nonintrusive to human eyes, (2) the direction of the NIR light emitted from the NIR illuminator should be fixed and consistent with the field of view of the camera, and (3) the reflected NIR light arriving at the camera sensor should override other light sources in the imaging environment. Given these requirements, we used the RayMax300 illuminator [38] which can emit constant NIR rays into a specific direction with a fixed wavelength of 850 nm. The NIR rays from the RayMax300 illuminator are sufficiently powerful to reach up to 300 meters and is

eye safe according to the product specification. The camera used in our setup is a Canon 600D DSLR with 18 M effective pixels. In order to cover a large standoff during image acquisition, we used a Canon EF 400 mm F2.8L II IS USM telephoto lens together with the DSLR. The Canon 600D DSLR has a built-in IR/UV cut-off filter in front of the CMOS sensor, so we removed the IR/UV filter in order to capture the NIR light reflected by human faces. We also used the above image acquisition system to capture VIS face images at long distances in daytime without the NIR illuminator and without removing the IR/UV filter. Fig. 3 shows the overview of the proposed face imaging system that was used to collect the LDHF database.

2.2. LDHF database

Using the imaging system shown in Fig. 3, we have collected both VIS and NIR face images of 100 different subjects at 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m standoff in an outdoor environment. For each

Fig. 5. Overview of the proposed algorithm (AHFR) for performing cross-spectral and cross-distance face recognition.

Fig. 6. Three types of normalized faces with the same image size (192 × 240) but with different scales: (a) tight cropping (IPD = 126 pixels), (b) medium cropping (IPD = 92 pixels), and (c) loose cropping (IPD = 74 pixels).

subject, both frontal VIS and frontal NIR images were captured at each specified distance (standoff). In most face recognition applications, the enrolled gallery images are typically VIS images captured at a close standoff distance in a controlled environment. To replicate these scenarios, we also collected a 1 m VIS face image indoors for each subject by using a lens with a short focal length (Canon EF 50 mm F1.8). Additionally, to cover the scenario of cross-distance NIR to NIR face matching, 1 m NIR image was also captured for each subject indoors.² Therefore, as shown in Fig. 4, there are a total of 8 images were collected (four NIR images and four VIS images at 1 m, 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m, respectively) for each subject. The whole database was collected over a period of 1 month on the campus of Korea University, Seoul. The 100 subjects who participated in our study (70 males and 30 females) were students at Korea University with an age range of 20–30 years old. Some large standoff face images might look subtly different from each other because of the environmental conditions, such as fog and cloud. The image resolution is 5184×3456 pixels and the images are stored in both JPEG and RAW formats. The LDHF database is available to interested researchers via our website (<http://biolab.korea.ac.kr/database/>).

3. Cross-distance and cross-spectral face matching

For nighttime face recognition, the gallery images are typically VIS images captured indoors, while the probe images are typically NIR images captured at various standoffs. As shown in Fig. 4, while VIS face images at different distances look quite similar, NIR face images show a significant quality degradation (e.g., photon or sensor noise) as the standoff distance increases. Additionally, NIR face images appear quite different from VIS face images because of the different sensing mechanism. To compensate for these problems, we first perform geometric and photometric normalization of face images to correct large pose (in-plane) and illumination variations. An image restoration method based on Locally Linear Embedding (LLE) is proposed to recover high-quality face images from the degraded images. Finally, we use a heterogeneous face matcher proposed in [29] to match the restored NIR probe images to the VIS gallery images. An overview of the proposed approach for cross-spectral and cross-distance face matching is shown in Fig. 5.

3.1. Geometric and photometric normalization

The purpose of geometric normalization is to reduce the effect of scale, rotation, and translation variations. The eye locations in both NIR and VIS face images are automatically detected using Cognitec's FaceVACS SDK 8.6.0 [27]. For face images where the eyes cannot be detected automatically (five VIS images at 150 m, one NIR image at 100 m, and 28 NIR images at 150 m), we manually provided the eye locations. The face image is rotated and scaled so that the line connecting the two eyes is horizontal, and the interpupillary distance (IPD) is the same for all the images. Finally, all the face images are cropped to be of the same size (192×240). As shown in Fig. 6, to utilize the contextual information in the facial region [36], we scale the face images into the same size but with three different IPDs (126, 92, and 74 pixels). Specifically, we use a tight face cropping (IPD=126 pixels) to remove the hair, forehead, ears, and jaw, and focus on the central facial region. We use a medium face cropping (IPD=92 pixels) to include some portion of hair, forehead, and facial shape below the chin. Finally, we utilize a loose face cropping (IPD=74 pixels) to

include most of the hair, ears, jaw, and the whole facial shape. The proposed geometric normalization with multiple face croppings allows robustness to facial shape variations (e.g., different ratios between face height and width).

Photometric normalization has been found to be useful to improve the robustness of face recognition systems using VIS images [19,20]. In this work, we propose a photometric normalization method which consists of noise filtering and contrast enhancement. We use 3×3 median filtering to suppress the high frequency noise while preserving the facial details. A holistic spatial contrast enhancement function [21] is then applied to the filtered image

$$\hat{\mathbf{I}} = (\mathbf{I} - m_i) \times v_e/v_i + m_e, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ is the input face image; m_i and v_i are the mean and variance of input image $\mathbf{I}$, respectively; m_e and v_e are the desired mean and variance, respectively, which control the contrast of the output image $\hat{\mathbf{I}}$. In our experiments, $m_e=100$ and $v_e=80$. We do not apply photometric normalization to 1 m VIS gallery face images captured indoors, because they are of sufficiently high quality and appropriate exposure.

3.2. Image restoration

Maeng et al. [26] showed that the matching performance between 150 m NIR and 1 m VIS images was significantly lower than the matching performance between 60 m NIR and 1 m VIS images. Similar performance degradation was also observed in cross-distance VIS-to-VIS face image matching. We attribute the performance degradation to the image quality degradation arising from large standoff and low illuminance in nighttime. We propose a learning based image restoration method to recover a high-quality face image from a low-quality (large standoff) face image based on a training set consisting of pairs of low-quality face images and their corresponding high-quality face images. Specifically, we formulate the image restoration problem based on manifold learning theory, where the low-quality face images and their corresponding (mated) high-quality face images are considered to belong to low-quality and high-quality manifolds, respectively. Given a training set with both low-quality (e.g., 150 m NIR) and the corresponding high-quality (e.g., 1 m NIR) images, our objective is to learn a local linear mapping between the low-quality and high-quality manifolds, that can be used to recover the high-quality face images from any given low-quality face image. The proposed image restoration method consists of two main steps: (1) offline dictionary building based on the K-means clustering algorithm [32], and (2) online image restoration based on LLE. We describe these two steps in detail below.

3.2.1. Building the dictionary

Let $\mathbf{S} = \{\{\mathbf{I}_i^L, \mathbf{I}_i^H\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ denote the training set, where $\mathbf{I}_i^L$ and $\mathbf{I}_i^H$ are the i -th normalized low-quality and high-quality images, respectively. For each pair of low-quality and high-quality images $\{\mathbf{I}_i^L, \mathbf{I}_i^H\}$, we randomly sample n pairs of corresponding patches $\{\mathbf{P}_{ij}^L, \mathbf{P}_{ij}^H\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where each pair of patches comes from the same location in low-quality and high-quality face images (see Fig. 7). As a result, we have a total of $M = m \times n$ pairs of corresponding low-quality and high-quality patches, i.e., $\Psi^L = \{\mathbf{P}_{ij}^L, i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\Psi^H = \{\mathbf{P}_{ij}^H, i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, respectively. For simplicity of notation, we rewrite Ψ^L and Ψ^H as $\Psi^L = \{\mathbf{P}_i^L, i = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$ and $\Psi^H = \{\mathbf{P}_i^H, i = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$. The sets Ψ^L and Ψ^H constitute the dictionary that is used to learn a locally linear mapping between the low-quality and high-quality patches. In our experiments, we use pairs of low-quality face image and the corresponding 1 m high-quality face image in the

² A less powerful NIR illuminator (24 IR LED) was used indoors.

Fig. 7. Overview of the dictionary building process for the proposed image restoration method: (a) input images, (b) normalized and filtered images, (c) dictionary, and (d) clustering.

Fig. 8. Preserving the local geometry between the low-quality manifold $\mathcal{M}^L$ and the high-quality manifold $\mathcal{M}^H$.

Fig. 9. Proposed LLE based image restoration process.

same spectral band to build ψ^L and ψ^H ; parameters are set as follows: $m=90$, $n=1100$, and two different patch sizes of 8×8 and 16×16 .

In approaches where LLE has been used for super-resolution [17] and image synthesis [18,40], each local patch in a test face image needs to be compared to all the M patches in ψ^L in order to determine a set of nearest-neighbor patches. In our experiments, this would require $M=99,000$ comparisons between a patch in a test face image and ψ^L which can be slow in online testing stage. To reduce the computational cost, we propose a coarse-to-fine approach to determine the nearest-neighbor patches in ψ^L . Specifically, we first group the low-quality patches in ψ^L into K clusters $\mathbf{C}_1^L, \mathbf{C}_2^L, \dots, \mathbf{C}_K^L$ using K-means algorithm [32] (see Fig. 7), where the means of individual clusters are $\mu_1^L, \mu_2^L, \dots, \mu_K^L$. Patches in corresponding ψ^H are naturally partitioned into K clusters $\mathbf{C}_1^H, \mathbf{C}_2^H, \dots, \mathbf{C}_K^H$ following the same procedure as for ψ^L , where the cluster means are $\mu_1^H, \mu_2^H, \dots, \mu_K^H$. This way, the nearest-neighbor patches for a low-quality patch in a test face image can be determined by finding the nearest cluster in ψ^L . In our experiments, we set $K=512$ for the K-means clustering, so there are, on average, 200 (M/K) patches in each cluster. The number of comparisons needed to determine the nearest-neighbor patches for a low-quality patch in a test face image is reduced from 99,000 (M) to 700 ($K+M/K$) on average, which is over two orders of magnitude faster than the previous methods.

3.2.2. Restoring the face image

Following the manifold learning theory, two manifolds $\mathcal{M}^L$ and $\mathcal{M}^H$ can be learnt from ψ^L and ψ^H , respectively, which have similar local geometry [17,18,40]. In other words, embeddings of a low-quality patch in $\mathcal{M}^L$ and the embedding of its corresponding high-quality patch in $\mathcal{M}^H$ have similar local geometry (see Fig. 8). Based on this observation, given a patch in a low-quality face image captured at a large standoff, its corresponding high-quality patch can be recovered by calculating its embedding in the high-quality manifold $\mathcal{M}^H$.

Specifically, as shown in Fig. 9, given a low-quality face image, we first divide it into overlapping patches of the same size $w \times h$ which was used to build the dictionary. The overlap between two neighboring patches in the horizontal and vertical directions are $w_o = (w-1)$ and $h_o = (h-1)$ pixels, respectively. Thus, for a $W \times H$ low-quality face image, we have a total of $N = (W-w_o) \times (H-h_o)$ patches $\{\mathbf{P}_j^L, j=1, 2, \dots, N\}$. For a low-quality patch $\mathbf{P}_j^L$, we first find

its closest cluster $\mathbf{C}_k^L$ by comparing it with all the cluster means

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \arg \min_k \|\mu_k^L - \mathbf{P}_j^L\|_{L^2}, \quad (2)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ denotes the Euclidean distance. An embedding of patch $\mathbf{P}_j^L$ in the low-quality space $\mathcal{M}^L$ can then be calculated using LLE [31], which computes a set of weights $\{\omega_t, t=1, 2, \dots, T\}$ for the best linear reconstruction of $\mathbf{P}_j^L$ using its T neighboring patches $\mathcal{N}_j^L = \{\mathbf{P}_1^L, \mathbf{P}_2^L, \dots, \mathbf{P}_T^L\}$ within cluster $\mathbf{C}_k^L$

$$\epsilon_j = \|\mathbf{P}_j^L - \sum_{\mathbf{P}_t^L \in \mathcal{N}_j^L} \omega_t \mathbf{P}_t^L\|_{L^2}. \quad (3)$$

Given the above weights $\{\omega_t, t=1, 2, \dots, T\}$ obtained by solving for T neighboring patches $\mathcal{N}_j^L$ in $\mathcal{M}^L$, the restoration of $\mathbf{P}_j^L$ can be computed from the corresponding T neighboring patches in $\mathcal{M}^H$

$$\mathbf{P}_j^H = \sum_{\mathbf{P}_t^H \in \mathcal{N}_j^H} \omega_t \mathbf{P}_t^H, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_j^H = \{\mathbf{P}_1^H, \mathbf{P}_2^H, \dots, \mathbf{P}_T^H\}$ consists of the patches in the $\mathcal{M}^H$ space that correspond to $\mathcal{N}_j^L$. However, the restoration using (4) can be sensitive to the specific T neighboring patches found in the $\mathcal{M}^L$ space. To reduce the sensitivity, we further consider the mean (μ_k^H) of cluster $\mathbf{C}_k^H$ in the $\mathcal{M}^H$ space, and the restoration in (4) is modified to

$$\mathbf{P}_j^H = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_k^H) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{P}_t^H \in \mathcal{N}_j^H} \omega_t \mathbf{P}_t^H \right). \quad (5)$$

After we perform restoration for all the N patches in an input low-quality face image, the overlapped regions of the restored patches are averaged to get the whole restored face image. In our experiments, we set the number of neighboring patches $T=5$, and restore two high-quality images for each test image by using two different patch sizes, i.e., 8×8 and 16×16 for $w \times h$.

3.3. Face matching

After restoring high-quality face images via the proposed image restoration method, the “modality gap” between the VIS and NIR face images is significantly reduced. This makes it possible to utilize available heterogeneous face matchers developed for face matching at the same standoff (for both the VIS and NIR images) to perform face recognition. In our approach, we use the heterogeneous face recognition (HFR) system that was developed in [29] to match the restored face images at different standoffs to the 1 m VIS gallery face images. As illustrated in Fig. 10, three different

Fig. 10. A block diagram of the heterogeneous face recognition (HFR) system [29] that is used to match the restored test face images at large standoff to target face images at 1 m. The proposed augmented heterogeneous face recognition (AHFR) approach extends the applicability of HFR to cross-spectral and cross-distance face matching with a novel image restoration approach based on LLE.

filters (Difference of Gaussian (DoG), Center-Surround Divisive Normalization (CSDN), and Gaussian) and two different descriptors (Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) [33] and Multiscale Local Binary Pattern (MLBP) [34]) were combined to extract six types of features from face images in HFR. All the features were extracted from overlapped patches and concatenated together to form a holistic representation of a face image. Random subspace LDA (RS-LDA) was then used to learn the discriminative projections that minimizes the intra-person variations while maximizing the inter-person differences. Besides directly performing RS-LDA on the concatenated feature vectors, a prototype approach using kernel trick was further introduced to enhance the representation ability (prototype RS-LDA).

In our approach, we use HFR with prototype RS-LDA for cross-spectral and cross-distance face matching, and HFR with direct RS-LDA for intra-spectral and cross-distance face matching. Since we have cropped face images at three different scales (see Fig. 6), HFR

is performed separately at individual scales. A score level fusion is used to calculate the final matching score.

4. Experimental results

We evaluate the proposed approach using the LDHF database in three different scenarios: (i) cross-spectral and cross-distance face verification, (ii) intra-spectral and cross-distance face verification, and (iii) face identification with large gallery databases. The first scenario replicates the surveillance application in nighttime, where the query (probe) image is an NIR image while the target image is a VIS image. The second scenario corresponds to surveillance applications in daytime or nighttime, where both the query and target images are of the same modality (either VIS images or NIR images). The last scenario commonly appears in forensic applications, where the mugshot of a suspect is used as the probe

Fig. 11. Examples of image restoration using the proposed approach for three different subjects: (a) input NIR face images and (b) restored NIR face images at three different standoffs. For each subject, we also show the 1 m VIS gallery image.

Fig. 12. ROC curves for cross-spectral (NIR to VIS) and cross-distance (60 m, 100 m and 150 m query images vs. 1 m target images) matching. *A total of 1, 5, and 52 poor quality NIR face images at 60 m, 100 m and 150 m, respectively, could not be enrolled by COTS-2 matcher.

to find a match in large databases of mugshot photos. To compare the proposed approach with existing methods, we use two state-of-the-art methods (HFR [29] and LSNA [30]), and two COTS face matching systems (FaceVACS [27] and PittPatt [28]) as the baselines. Results of the two COTS matchers are denoted as “COTS-1” and “COTS-2” in order to anonymize the matchers. We also study the sensitivity of the parameters in the proposed image restoration approach to the face matching performance.

4.1. Experimental settings

Due to the relatively small number of subjects (100) in the LDHF database, we perform image restoration using a 10-fold cross validation. In other words, in each image restoration experiment, 90 subjects are used to build the dictionary (ψ^L and ψ^H), and the remaining 10 subjects are used for testing. Since we obtain two different restorations of each low quality face image using two different patch sizes (8×8 and 16×16), the match scores using these two restored images are summed together to get the final similarity score.

We compare the performance of different methods by reporting the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves for verification

experiments in the first two scenarios, and Cumulative Matching Characteristic (CMC) curves for face identification experiments in the third scenario.

4.2. Cross-spectral and cross-distance face matching

In this experiment, face verification experiments are performed by using 1 m VIS images as target images, and NIR images at 60 m, 100 m and 150 m as query images, respectively. We show the restored images using the proposed image restoration method in Fig. 11. Fig. 11(a) shows the input NIR images at different standoffs, where we can clearly see a degradation in image quality with an increase in standoff. Specifically, most of the facial details are lost in the 150 m NIR images. Fig. 11(b) shows the restored NIR images using the proposed image restoration approach. We can notice that while the original 60 m NIR images look much better than the 100 m NIR images, the restored 100 m NIR images are quite consistent in terms of quality with the restored 60 m NIR images. For the most challenging 150 m NIR images, the proposed approach is still able to recover sufficient amount of facial details for improved face recognition.

The ROC curves of the proposed approach, two COTS matchers and two state-of-the-art heterogeneous face recognition methods are shown in Fig. 12. For 60 m NIR images, the LSNA method and the two COTS matchers achieve similar performance. For example, at a FAR of 0.1%, the verification rate of LSNA and COTS-2 is $\sim 25\%$, and the verification rate of COTS-1 is $\sim 30\%$. On the other hand, the HFR method [29] with 50% verification rate at 0.1% FAR performs much better than LSNA and the two commercial matchers. However, the proposed approach achieves significantly higher recognition performance than HFR. For example, face verification rate of the proposed approach at 0.1% FAR is 75% compared with 50% verification rate for HFR.

For both 100 m and 150 m NIR images, while LSNA matcher still performs slight better than COTS-1, COTS-2 shows better performance than LSNA matcher. However, this does not necessarily mean that COTS-2 is better in matching 100 m and 150 m NIR images to 1 m VIS images, because some of the NIR images at 100 m and 150 m could not be enrolled (5 images at 100 m and 52 images at 150 m) by COTS-2. HFR still performs consistently better than the other three matchers at both 100 m and 150 m. However, the proposed approach achieves even more impressive improvement over HFR at 100 m and 150 m standoffs. For example, while

Fig. 13. Examples of face matching results at 0.1% False Accept Rate (FAR) by the proposed approach without and with using the restored images.

Fig. 14. Examples of (a) correct matches, and (b) incorrect matches by the proposed approach at 0.1% FAR in cross-spectral and cross-distance matching.

HFR achieves 35% and 10% verification rates at 0.1% FAR for 100 m and 150 m NIR images, respectively, the proposed AHFR method achieves 60% and 37% verification rates.

From the above comparisons we can see the advantages of face matchers that are specifically designed for cross-spectral matching (HFR and LSNA) over the two commercial matchers mainly designed for VIS to VIS image matching. For example, LSNA is better than COTS-1 in most of the situations, and HFR is always better than both COTS-1 and COTS-2 in the conditions tested here. However, while LSNA and HFR were designed for handling cross-spectral matching problem, they are still limited to face matching with images captured at the same standoff. By contrast, the proposed AHFR approach is able to handle both cross-spectral and cross-distance scenarios.

Fig. 13 shows some examples of face matching results at 0.1% False Accept Rate (FAR) without and with using the restored images. Without using the proposed image restoration approach, the low-quality query images are matched to wrong target images (matched without restoration); however, after using the proposed image restoration approach, the query images are matched to the correct target images (matched with restoration). Fig. 14 shows examples where the proposed approach leads to correct and incorrect matches. In Fig. 14(b) we notice that some of the incorrect matches are due to the similarity in hair style. This is understandable because when many facial details are lost in low-quality NIR images captured at large standoff, the hair style indicated by the intensity changes becomes a dominant factor in face matching.

We also investigate the sensitivity of individual parameter values to the face matching performance. As shown in Fig. 15(a), patch sizes of 8×8 and 16×16 used in image restoration are found to be better than the other patch sizes in matching 150 m NIR images and 60 m VIS images to 1 m VIS images. Fig. 15

(b) suggests that overlaps of 7 and 15 pixels are good choices for patch sizes of 8×8 and 16×16 , respectively. Fig. 15(c) and (d) suggests that the matching performance is robust to the number of clusters, and the number of neighboring patches in LLE. In our experiments, we use 512 clusters ($K=512$), and 5 neighboring patches ($T=5$) as suggested in [17,18]. Table 3 shows that the proposed image restoration method with clustering is much more efficient than traditional restoration methods [17,18] where LLE was used without clustering in the dictionary.

We also study the influence of the training set size by changing the percentage of face images used for training. Specifically, in our 10-fold test, 90% images are used for testing and 10% images are used for training. In this experiment, while the testing set remains the same, we further reduce the training set size by using only 20%, 40%, 60%, and 80% images for training. Fig. 16 shows the face verification results of both cross-spectral and intra-spectral matching at 60 m, 100 m and 150 m with different percentages of training data. As shown in Fig. 16, although the percentage of training data varies from 20% to 90%, there are only minor differences in the performance of NIR-to-VIS and VIS-to-VIS matching. More importantly, the use of 90% images for training in a 10-fold cross-validation protocol does not lead to overfitting of

Table 3

Average computation time (in seconds) for image restoration with and without K-means clustering on a laptop with 2.5G dual-core Intel Core i5 processor and 16G RAM.

Patch size	Average time (s) per image	
	No clustering	Clustering with 512 clusters
8×8	528.29	5.00
16×16	1301.37	10.17

Fig. 15. Sensitivity of algorithmic parameters on the cross-spectral cross-distance face matching performance: (a) patch size, (b) overlap between patches, (c) number of clusters in K-means, and (d) number of neighboring patches in LLE in the proposed image restoration approach.

the proposed cross-spectral and cross-distance face matching system. These results show the robustness of the proposed method against the training dataset size.

4.3. Intra-spectral and cross-distance face matching

In daytime face recognition at large standoff, the query images are typically of the same modality as the enrolled target images, i. e., both of them are VIS images. Hence, in this scenario, we are dealing with intra-spectral and cross-distance matching problem. Experiments in this scenario mainly evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed approach in the presence of difference in the standoff between the query and target face images.

Fig. 17(a) shows the ROC curves of various face recognition methods in matching 60 m, 100 m and 150 m VIS query face images to 1 m VIS target face images. At 60 m, the proposed AHFR approach achieves significantly higher performance (91%) than HFR (75%), COTS-2 (69%), and LSNA (55%), but slightly lower performance than the commercial matcher COTS-1 (96%) at 0.1% FAR. The main reason for the better performance of COTS-1 is probably because of the fact that 60 m VIS face images captured using our image acquisition system are still of quite high quality (see Fig. 4). However, for larger standoff, namely 100 m and 150 m, blur and noise are observed in the face images, and COTS matchers no longer outperform the designed cross-distance face matching method. For example, as shown in Fig. 17(a), when the standoff distance increases from 60 m to 100 m, the performance of COTS-1 matcher at 0.1% FAR drops from 96% to 67%, but the performance of the proposed AHFR approach only drops from 91% to 83%, which

shows better robustness against standoff. At 150 m, the proposed AHFR approach still achieves 75% verification rate at 0.1% FAR, which is much higher than the best state-of-the-art method (55% verification by COTS-2). The effectiveness of the proposed AHFR approach in VIS to VIS face matching across different standoffs also encouraged us to evaluate the proposed approach in NIR to NIR face matching across different standoffs. Fig. 17(b) shows that the proposed AHFR approach is also more robust than existing methods in NIR to NIR face matching across different standoffs. The above experimental results show that the proposed AHFR approach is more effective than the state-of-the-art methods in intra-spectral and cross-distance face recognition (see Fig. 18 (a) and (b)). Examples of correct and incorrect matches by the proposed approach for intra-spectral cross-distance matching are shown in Fig. 19.

It is generally true that in most face recognition systems, only VIS images of individual subjects are enrolled in the target set. However, with the development of NIR imaging devices, it is also possible to enroll the NIR images of individual subjects in the target set. In this situation, it is natural to utilize both VIS and NIR target images to improve the face matching performance. The availability of both 1 m VIS and 1 m NIR images in our LDHF database makes it possible for us to investigate this problem. So, in our experiment, a query NIR image is matched to both the 1 m VIS and 1 m NIR target images using the proposed approach, and the resulting two similarity scores are summed up to get the final match score for the query and a target subject. We show the ROC curves with 150 m NIR images as the query images in Fig. 18(c). We notice that a simple score level fusion of the two modalities of

Fig. 16. Influence of different percentages (20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, and 90%) of training data for (a) cross-spectral (NIR to VIS) and (b) intra-spectral (VIS to VIS) matching at 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m standoff.

Fig. 17. ROC curves for intra-spectral (VIS to VIS or NIR to NIR) and cross-distance (60 m, 100 m and 150 m query images vs. 1 m target images) matching. *A total of 1, 5, and 52 images, respectively, could not be enrolled by COTS-2 matcher for 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m NIR images due to poor quality; similarly, a total of 1, 3, and 6 images, respectively, could not be enrolled by COTS-2 matcher for 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m VIS images.

Fig. 18. Robustness of the proposed approach in (a) cross-distance VIS to VIS face matching, (b) cross-distance NIR to NIR face matching, and (c) fusion of VIS and NIR target images in matching 150 m NIR images to 1 m VIS and 1 m NIR images.

target images is able to further improve the nighttime face recognition performance (see Fig. 20).

4.4. Identification with a large gallery

In forensic applications, the NIR image of a suspect captured at nighttime is likely to be used to perform retrieval from a large mugshot database to determine the identity of the suspect. To

better replicate this scenario, we augment the gallery set (target set used in Sections 4.2 and 4.3) of 100 subjects with 10,000 additional mugshots (one image per subject) from the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office (PCSO) database.³ The 10,000 background

³ PCSO is a mugshot database that is collected by the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office (PCSO), Florida.

Fig. 19. Examples of (a) correct matches and (b) incorrect matches by the proposed approach at 0.1% FAR in intra-spectral and cross-distance matching.

Fig. 20. Examples of 150 m NIR image (top row) that could not be correctly matched when compared only to 1 m VIS target, but could be correctly matched when compared to both 1 m VIS (third row) and 1 m NIR target (bottom row) images at FAR = 0.1%.

images from PCSO are mugshots with slight pose, expression, and illumination variations (see Fig. 21(a)). Face identification (closed set) is then performed using this enlarged VIS gallery set.

Fig. 22(a) shows the CMC curves of face identification using 60 m, 100 m and 150 m NIR images in an operational rank range, e. g., rank-1 to rank-200 [37]. We can see that HFR [29] achieves better performance than the other three state-of-the-art methods (COTS-1, COTS-2 and LSNA). For examples, the rank-1 accuracies of HFR at 60 m, 100 m and 150 m are 65%, 48%, and 15%, respectively. Compared with HFR, the proposed AHFR approach achieves much higher performance with the rank-1 accuracies of 82%, 69%, and 28% for 60 m, 100 m and 150 m NIR images, respectively. At rank 200, the proposed AHFR approach achieves 98%, 94%, and 76% accuracies for 60 m, 100 m and 150 m NIR images, respectively, which are better than HFR accuracies (96%, 88%, and 54% accuracies for 60 m, 100 m and 150 m NIR images, respectively). Similar experiments were also performed to match VIS probe images to the enlarged VIS image gallery set. As shown in Fig. 22(b), the proposed AHFR approach achieves comparable performance to COTS-1 for 60 m VIS probe images, but slight better performance than the state-of-the-art methods for 100 m and 150 m VIS probe

images. Examples of correct and incorrect rank-1 matches by the proposed approach are shown in Fig. 23.

To determine the effectiveness of our approach using a background database with Asian subjects (similar ethnicity as the faces in our LDHF database), we collected an Asian background set by identifying the Asian subjects in the following databases: PCSO, MORPH [41], CAS-PEAL [42], CASIA-FaceV5 [43], CUHK [44], and FaceWarehouse [45] (see Fig. 21(b)). This enabled us to build an Asian background set with 2,508 subjects (one image per subject) to populate the gallery. To our knowledge, there is no large public domain Asian face database available. Fig. 24 shows the face identification performance of the proposed AHFR approach for cross-spectral and intra-spectral face matching at different distances. The performance of two COTS matchers and two state-of-the-art heterogeneous face matching methods (HFR and LSNA) are also provided for comparison. Fig. 24 shows that the proposed AHFR approach performs significantly better than other baseline methods for NIR to VIS matching at different distances using the background dataset with Asian subjects. For VIS to VIS matching, the proposed approach achieves comparable performance to state-of-the-art matchers at 60 m, but slightly better performance than state-of-the-art matchers at 100 m and 150 m. These observations with an Asian background set are consistent with those that we observed when the PCSO dataset was used as the background set.

5. Conclusions and future work

We have studied the problem of cross-spectral (NIR vs. VIS) and cross-distance (60 m, 100 m and 150 m vs. 1 m) face matching problem to address the application of face recognition in nighttime operations. We have designed an image acquisition system that is able to collect face images at large standoff for both VIS images in daytime and NIR images at nighttime. With this imaging system, we have collected a face database, called Long Distance Heterogeneous Face (LDHF) database that has been made publicly available. Given the LDHF database, we studied the nighttime face recognition problem at large standoff, and proposed the AHFR approach for cross-spectral cross-distance matching.

The proposed AHFR approach was evaluated under three different scenarios: (i) cross-spectral and cross-distance face matching, (ii) intra-spectral and cross-distance face matching, and (iii) identification with a large gallery. Experimental results show that the proposed AHFR approach achieves significantly

Fig. 21. Examples of background VIS face images. (a) Mugshots with pose and expression variations in the PCSO mugshot database, and (b) Asian subjects taken from PCSO, MORPH, CAS-PEAL, CASIA-FaceV5, CUHK and FaceWarehouse databases.

Fig. 22. CMC curves for cross-spectral (NIR to VIS) and intra-spectral (VIS to VIS), and cross-distance (60 m, 100 m, and 150 m probes to 1 m VIS gallery) face identification using additional 10,000 background face images from PCSO. *Some face images could not be enrolled by COTS-2 matcher. For example, 1, 5, and 52 images could not be enrolled by COTS-2 matcher for 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m NIR images, respectively; and 1, 3, and 6 images could not be enrolled by COTS-2 matcher for 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m VIS images, respectively. Among the gallery images, 8 mugshots from PCSO could not be enrolled by COTS-2 matcher.

better performance under all the three scenarios compared to four baselines: two COTS matchers (designed for VIS to VIS matching) and two state-of-the-art heterogeneous face matching methods, HFR [29] and LSNA [30], designed for NIR to VIS matching. One of the main contributions of our work that is responsible for improved cross-

spectral and cross-distance face recognition performance of the proposed method is the learning based face image restoration method.

Our future work will include (i) improving the NIR face image acquisition system and enlarging the database size (e.g., 200 subjects with multiple sessions), (ii) introducing some degree of

Fig. 23. Examples of (a) correct and (b) incorrect rank-1 matches in face identification using 150 m NIR probe face images and 1 m VIS gallery images with two different background sets (a PCSO dataset with 10,000 images and an Asian dataset with 2508 images).

Fig. 24. CMC curves for (a) cross-spectral (NIR to VIS) and (b) intra-spectral (VIS to VIS) face matching at 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m with a background set of Asian subjects (assembled from PCSO, MORPH, CAS-PEAL, CASIA-FaceV5, CUHK, and FaceWarehouse databases). *Some of the low-quality face images at large standoff could not be enrolled by COTS-2 matcher. A total of 1, 5, and 52 images, respectively, could not be enrolled by COTS-2 matcher for 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m NIR images; and 1, 3, and 6 images, respectively, could not be enrolled by COTS-2 matcher for 60 m, 100 m, and 150 m VIS images. Among the gallery images, 4 mugshots from PCSO, MORPH, CAS-PEAL, CASIA-FaceV5, CUHK, and FaceWarehouse could not be enrolled by COTS-2 matcher.

pose, expression, and occlusion variations during face image capture, and (iii) finding a face representation for further improving the cross-spectral and cross-distance face recognition accuracy.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office for providing the PCSO face database. We would also like to thank the Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Science (CASIA) for providing us the CASIA-FaceV5 database. This research was supported by the Implementation of Technologies for Identification, Behavior, and Location of Human based on Sensor Network Fusion Program through the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy (Grant number: 10041629) and the 2014 R&D Program for S/W Computing Industrial Core Technology through the MSIP (Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning)/KEIT(Korea Evaluation Institute of Industrial Technology) (Project No. 2014-044-023-001), Korea.

References

- [1] Big Brother is DEFINITELY Watching You: Shocking Study Reveals UK has One CCTV for Every 32 People, 2011. [Online] (<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1362493/One-CCTV-camera-32-people-Big-Brother-Britain.html>).
- [2] C. Norris, A Review of the Increased Use of CCTV and Video Surveillance for Crime Prevention Purposes in Europe, European Parliament, 2009. [Online] (<http://www.statewatch.org/news/2009/apr/ep-study-norris-cctv-video-surveillance.pdf>).
- [3] J.C. Klontz, A.K. Jain, A Case Study on Unconstrained Facial Recognition Using the Boston Marathon Bombings Suspects, MSU Technical Report, 2013. [Online] (http://www.cse.msu.edu/biometrics/Publications/Face/KlontzJain_CaseStudyUnconstrainedFacialRecognition_BostonMarathonBombingsSuspects.pdf).
- [4] P.J. Phillips, P.J. Flynn, J.R. Beveridge, W.T. Scruggs, A.J. O'Toole, D. Bolme, K.W. Bowyer, B.A. Draper, G.H. Givens, Y.M. Lui, H. Sahibzada, J.A. Scallan, W. Samuel, Overview of the multiple biometrics grand challenge, in: Proceedings of ICB, 2009, pp. 705–714.
- [5] D. Huang, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, A robust method for near infrared face recognition based on extended local binary pattern, in: Proceedings of ISVC, 2007, pp. 437–446.
- [6] X. Zou, J. Kittler, K. Messer, Illumination invariant face recognition: a survey, in: Proceedings of IEEE BTAS, 2007.
- [7] Z. Pan, G.E. Healey, M. Prasad, B.J. Tromberg, Face recognition in hyperspectral images, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 25 (2003) 1552–1560.
- [8] S. Zhao, R.-R. Grigat, An automatic face recognition system in the near infrared spectrum, in: Proceedings of MLDM, 2005, pp. 437–444.
- [9] L. Shen, J. He, S. Wu, S. Zheng, Face recognition from visible and near-infrared images using boosted directional binary code, in: Proceedings of ICIC, 2012, pp. 404–411.
- [10] S.Z. Li, R. Chu, S. Liao, L. Zhang, Illumination invariant face recognition using near-infrared images, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 29 (2007) 627–639.
- [11] H. Maeng, H.-C. Choi, U. Park, S.-W. Lee, A. K. Jain, NFRAD: near-infrared face recognition at a distance, in: Proceedings of IJCB, 2011, pp. 1–7.
- [12] T. Bourlai, B. Cukic, Multi-spectral face recognition: identification of people in difficult environments, in: Proceedings of ISI, 2012, pp. 196–201.
- [13] F. Nicolo, N.A. Schmid, Long range cross-spectral face recognition: matching SWIR against visible light images, IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur. 7 (2012) 1717–1726.
- [14] Tactical Imager for Night/Day Extended-Range Surveillance WVHTCF, November 10, 2011. [Online] (http://www.vvhtf.org/programs/advancedtech/active_swir_imaging_for_long_range_nighttime_surveillance_and_face_recognition.php).
- [15] S.Z. Li, A.K. Jain (Eds.), Handbook of Face Recognition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011.
- [16] B. Zhang, L. Zhang, D. Zhang, L. Shen, Directional binary code with application to PolyU near-infrared face database, Pattern Recognit. Lett. 31 (2010) 2337–2344.
- [17] H. Chang, D.-Y. Yeung, Y. Xiong, Super-resolution through neighbor embedding, in: Proceedings of IEEE CVPR, 2004.
- [18] J. Chen, D. Yi, J. Yang, G. Zaho, S.Z. Li, M. Pietikainen, Learning mappings for face synthesis from near infrared to visual light images, in: Proceedings of IEEE CVPR, 2009, pp. 156–163.
- [19] H. Han, S. Shan, X. Chen, S. Lao, W. Gao, Separability oriented preprocessing for illumination-insensitive face recognition, in: Proceedings of ECCV, 2012, pp. 307–320.
- [20] H. Han, S. Shan, X. Chen, W. Gao, A comparative study on illumination preprocessing in face recognition, Pattern Recognit. 46 (2013) 1691–1699.
- [21] J.-S. Lee, Digital image enhancement and noise filtering by use of local statistics, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 2 (1980) 165–168.
- [22] R. Wang, J. Yang, D. Yi, S.Z. Li, An analysis-by-synthesis method for heterogeneous face biometrics, in: Proceedings of ICB, 2009, pp. 319–326.
- [23] Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, Z. Zhang, Face synthesis from near-infrared to visual light via sparse representation, in: Proceedings of IJCB, 2011, pp. 1–6.
- [24] D. Yi, R. Liu, R. Chu, Z. Lei, S.Z. Li, Face Matching between near infrared and visible light images, in: Proceedings of ICB, 2007, pp. 523–530.
- [25] B. Klare, A.K. Jain, Heterogeneous face recognition: matching NIR to visible light images, in: Proceedings of ICPR, 2010, pp. 1513–1516.
- [26] H. Maeng, S. Liao, D. Kang, S.-W. Lee, A. K. Jain, Nighttime face recognition at long distance: cross-distance and cross-spectral matching, in: Proceedings of ACCV, 2012, pp. 708–721.
- [27] FaceVACS Software Developer Kit, Cognitec Systems GmbH, (<http://www.cognitec-systems.de>).
- [28] PittPatt Software Developer Kit, Pittsburgh Pattern Recognition, Inc.
- [29] B.F. Klare, A.K. Jain, Heterogeneous face recognition using kernel prototype similarities, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 35 (2013) 1410–1422.
- [30] S. Liao, D. Yi, Z. Lei, R. Qin, S.Z. Li, Heterogeneous face recognition from local structures of normalized appearance, in: Proceedings of ICB, 2009, pp. 209–218.
- [31] S.T. Roweis, L.K. Saul, Nonlinear dimensionality reduction by locally linear embedding, Science 290 (2000) 2323–2326.
- [32] A.K. Jain, R.C. Dubes, Algorithms for Clustering Data, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1988.
- [33] D. Lowe, Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints, Int. J. Comput. Vis. 60 (2004) 91–110.
- [34] B. Klare, Z. Li, A.K. Jain, Matching forensic sketches to mugshot photos, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 33 (2011) 639–646.
- [35] S.Z. Li, Z. Lei, M. Ao, The HFB face database for heterogeneous face biometrics research, in: Proceedings of IEEE CVPR Workshops, 2009, pp. 1–8.
- [36] Y. Li, S. Shan, H. Zhang, S. Lao, X. Chen, Fusing magnitude and phase features for robust face recognition, in: Proceedings of ACCV, 2012, pp. 601–612.
- [37] H. Han, B. Klare, K. Bonnen, A.K. Jain, Matching composite sketches to face photos: a component-based approach, IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur. 8 (2013) 191–204.
- [38] Near-infrared Illuminator, Raytec, (<http://www.rayteccctv.com>).
- [39] D.S. Bolme, J.R. Beveridge, M.L. Teixeira, B.A. Draper, The CSU face identification evaluation system: its purpose, features and structure, in: Proceedings of ICVS, 2003, pp. 304–313.
- [40] Q. Liu, X. Tang, H. Jin, H. Lu, S. Ma, A nonlinear approach for face sketch synthesis and recognition, in: Proceedings of IEEE CVPR, 2005, pp. 1005–1010.
- [41] K. Ricanek, T. Tesafaye, MORPH: a longitudinal image database of normal adult age-progression, in: Proceedings of FGR, 2006, pp. 341–345.
- [42] W. Gao, B. Cao, S. Shan, X. Chen, D. Zhou, X. Zhang, D. Zhao, The CAS-PEAL large-scale Chinese face database and baseline evaluations, IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. Part-A Syst. Hum. 38 (2008) 149–161.
- [43] CASIA-FaceV5, (<http://biometrics.idealtest.org/>).
- [44] X. Wang, X. Tang, Face photo-sketch synthesis and recognition, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 31 (2009) 1955–1967.
- [45] C. Cao, Y. Weng, S. Zhou, Y. Tong, K. Zhou, Face Warehouse: a 3D Facial Expression Database for Visual Computing, IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph. 20 (2014) 413–425.

Dongoh Kang received the M.S. degree in Computer Science and Engineering from Korea University, Seoul, Korea, in 2012. He is currently a Ph.D. student in the Department of Brain and Cognitive Engineering in Korea University. His research interests include computer vision, image processing, and biometrics.

Hu Han received his Ph.D. degree in computer science from the Institute of Computing Technology (ICT), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Beijing, China, in 2011. Currently, he is a post-doctoral fellow in Department of Computer Science and Engineering at Michigan State University. His research includes computer vision and pattern recognition.

Anil K. Jain is a university distinguished professor in the Department of Computer Science and Engineering at Michigan State University. He is a Fellow of the ACM, IEEE, AAAS, IAPR and SPIE. He received the Pattern Recognition Society best paper awards in 1987, 1991, and 2005. His research interests include pattern recognition and biometric authentication.

Seong-Whan Lee is a Hyundai Motor Chair Professor at Korea University, where he is the Head of the Department of Brain and Cognitive Engineering. He is a Fellow of the IEEE, IAPR, and Korean Academy of Science and Technology. His research interests include pattern recognition, computer vision, and brain engineering.